IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

DARNELL ARTHUR ALLEN, JR.,

Petitioner,

v. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:18-CV-113

(GROH)

RALPH TERRY, Acting Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Now before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States

Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action

was referred to Magistrate Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed R&R.

Magistrate Judge Trumble issued his R&R [ECF No. 25] on June 28, 2019. Therein,

Magistrate Judge Trumble recommends that the Petitioner's § 2254 petition [ECF No. 1]

be denied and dismissed with prejudice as to grounds one and two and without prejudice

as to ground three.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court must conduct a *de novo* review of

the magistrate judge's findings where objection is made. However, the Court is not

required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions

of the magistrate judge to which no objection is made. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,

150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and

of a petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28.U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R were due within fourteen plus three

days of service. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The R&R was mailed to

the Petitioner by certified mail on June 28, 2019. ECF No. 25. The Petitioner accepted

service on July 3, 2019. ECF No. 26. To date, no objections have been filed.

Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the R&R, it is the opinion of this Court that Magistrate Judge

Trumble's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 25] should be, and is hereby,

ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated therein. Therefore, the

Petitioner's § 2254 Petition [ECF No. 1] is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**

as to grounds one and two and WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to ground three.

This matter is **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket. The Clerk

of Court is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to the Petitioner by certified mail, return

receipt requested, at his last known address as reflected on the docket sheet.

DATED: July 29, 2019

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2